

TRIBAL CULTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Dr. Sampada Bais Kashyap^{1*}

^{1*}Assistant Professor, Department of Anthropology, Govt. J.Y. Chhattisgarh College, Raipur (C.G.)
E-mail- baissampada29@gmail.com

***Corresponding Author**

ABSTRACT Traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) has a strong relationship to nature, this sustainability is characteristic of tribal culture. This study looks at the role of tribal practices in sustainable agriculture, forest resource management, and biodiversity conservation. It brings forth the problems that modernization, industrialization, and deforestation present to the survival of indigenous communities and the ecological wisdom they possess. This underscores the voice of TEK in contemporary environmental strategies through the integration of TEK as a unifying language and method for scientific knowledge systems and traditional knowledge systems. The reason for preserving tribal cultures, other than biodiversity, is also to address global environmental challenges. Societies can develop adaptive and sustainable solutions to ecological crises by learning from tribal perspectives. The findings highlight the importance of tribal and indigenous knowledge in fostering ecological balance and in advocating for policies that give rights and include indigenous communities in conservation efforts.

KEYWORDS Tribal culture, ecological balance, biodiversity conservation, traditional knowledge, sustainability

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable ways that grow from their spiritual principles and regular routines have long been honored by tribal societies. Spiritual traditions and social systems that rely on using Earth's resources keep tribes connected to their natural state (Berkes 2018). According to Ormsby (2013), the Dongria Kondh tribe of India demonstrates how sacred forests protect nature and have great cultural value. Because they have long experience with nature, these communities have naturally adopted sustainable resource management. Environmental sustainability is very important when we study tribal knowledge systems. TEK helps us to know better how to protect the natural resources. The TEK is receiving greater attention for its potential to solve the worldwide problems on environmental issues, for example, forest management and biodiversity protection (Gadgil, Berkes & Folke, 1993). However, these systems can effectively use existing cultural heritage knowledge from traditional wisdom, and combine that with modern ecological methods for a local solution on the protection of cultural heritage (Pretty et al. 2009). It examines how the tribal cultures conserve nature through their well-preserved natural knowledge about nature. It reviews how tribal communities employ their cultural systems to manage nature and argues that indigenous knowledge is needed in contemporary environmental policy. This paper studies the importance of traditional practices, as these practices are endangered by globalization. This indicates that traditional knowledge systems and modern methods should be combined to achieve sustainable development.

Objectives

The article is a review article synthesizing various authors and sources on the previous role of tribal cultural practices in conservation. Intended to find indigenous ways of preserving nature, helping manage natural resources without harming them. Its portrait of the struggling battle between tribal communities and industrialization and global market forces is a powerful one, one that provides a grim warning that the least developed people have the least power. It demonstrates how today's environmental solutions ought to embrace tribal knowledge systems, giving insight into how tribal knowledge systems can be used to answer issues of global sustainability and simultaneously preserve the traditional practice of the environment. The importance of this study is that it demonstrates how traditional ecological knowledge can be used to contribute to global sustainability challenges in a manner that preserves cultural identity.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION**Cultural Practices of Tribes and Forest Conservation**

The cultural identity of tribal people is based on the ecosystems they live in, and they have always lived in harmony with nature. Practices indicate their deep affinity with nature, which includes clan relationships to the natural elements while narrating stories of local animals and treating natural mountains and rivers as holy spaces (Gadgil et al., 1993; Berkes, 2018). The traditions protect both tribal heritage and contribute to environmental conservation. The Khasi and Garo tribes of Meghalaya protect their sacred groves, which function as important biodiversity areas and carbon storage locations (Ormsby, 2013). The protected sacred groves contain rare plants and support local wildlife because tribal communities enforce cultural rules against using these areas. The Dongria Kondh tribe of Odisha protects Niyamgiri Hills as a sacred space to preserve their ecosystem and stop mining activities that threaten their environment (Bera, 2015). In tribal societies, people experience nature as a living partner that deserves respect through mutual actions. Indigenous Australians see land as their "country," which connects spiritual and material aspects of life. Their relationship with nature defines tribal identity and creates sustainable ways forward.

Many tribes use their traditional ecological knowledge to preserve natural systems through their sustainable practices. Through rotational farming and agroforestry combined with controlled burning, tribes protect biodiversity and improve soil health (Pretty *et al.* 2009, Long *et al.* 2020). In central India, Baiga tribe members practice natural wood regeneration through their shifting cultivation system. These traditional farming methods stop soil loss and help farmers use their land for many years (Sahu & Mollick, 2019). Indigenous Australians use cultural burning as a traditional practice to balance their environment through Traditional Ecological Knowledge. Through controlled low-intensity burns, they protect their grasslands from major wildfires and help fire-resistant plants grow better. North American tribes use controlled burns to manage their forests and create better wildlife habitats. Tribal communities help protect water sources from harm. The Apatani tribe in Arunachal Pradesh controls water properly to grow rice and keep aquatic life safe, as explained by Mandal and his team in 2016. The advanced tribal knowledge systems show how they protect nature to make it stronger.

Traditional practices that protect our environment encounter major difficulties today. Our modern society has broken the traditional way tribal communities teach their knowledge to younger generations because of industrialization and land-use changes (Sinha, 1991). The growth of large-scale farming operations and mining activities alongside urban development has forced tribal communities to leave their ancestral lands and destroyed their sacred groves (Bera's 2015). Official policies tend to overlook the important role tribal communities play in environmental protection. Protected areas set up by conservation programs typically block indigenous communities from participating, which weakens their natural environment protection role (Pretty *et al.*, 2009; Gadgil *et al.*, 1993). When tribal communities are left out of environmental protection efforts, it damages both nature and their cultural traditions. Traditional activities linked to tribal identity protect natural areas and keep ecosystems in good health.

Indigenous Knowledge and Environmental Management

Indigenous communities developed Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) through generations of learning about nature. These systems help us protect our environment while managing forests and farmlands. TEK helps farmers use local-specific farming methods that save resources and protect the environment for future generations. The Matigsalog and Ata tribes in the Philippines use farming techniques that improve soil health and save water to help them survive changing climate patterns. The Apatani tribe in India developed a method to grow rice and raise fish together, which uses land and water efficiently while protecting the environment (Mandal *et al.*, 2016). Indigenous knowledge systems lead the way in managing forests and their resources. Indigenous Australians use controlled burning to protect their land from wildfires and create better conditions for native plants to thrive. Indigenous Australians use cultural burning to restore fire-dependent plants and grasslands while stopping forest destruction. Different Amazon tribes use agroforestry and shifting cultivation to keep their soil healthy and protect their natural resources. These practices help people get food while protecting important natural habitats (Posey's 1985). Many indigenous groups base their cultural and spiritual traditions on protecting Earth's natural diversity. The Khasi and Garo tribes in India protect sacred groves that shelter endangered plant and animal species. Under religious and customary rules, these groves protect biodiversity while maintaining cultural heritage according to Ormsby (2013). Indigenous people help track and restore natural habitats. Their deep understanding of local plants and nature helps scientists fill important gaps in conservation work (Parrotta & Trosper, 2012).

Many indigenous groups worldwide show how they combine their traditional wisdom with environmental protection methods. The Khasi and Garo tribes in India protect sacred groves through cultural taboos and religious practices that preserve forest patches. These groves help protect nature while keeping water clean and reducing climate change effects (Ormsby's 2013). The Apatani tribe of Arunachal Pradesh in India combines rice farming with fish farming in their unique agricultural system. This farming method helps farmers produce more crops while protecting the environment and keeping nature in balance (Mandal *et al.*, 2016). The Kayapó people of the Amazon Rainforest combine agroforestry and shifting cultivation to protect their environment and maintain their way of life. They plant different crops and trees alongside medicinal plants to build strong and healthy ecosystems (Posey 1985). Indigenous Australians practice cultural burning to maintain their forest environments. Indigenous people perfected this method of keeping grasslands healthy and saving native species for thousands of years, and for good reason — to protect their lands from destructive wildfires. The Florida Miccosukee tribe uses its traditional wisdom and modern-day conservation methods to keep the Everglades ecosystem safe. They demonstrate how Indigenous people prepare for climate change and protect ecosystems through their work to restore wetlands. These examples demonstrate that TEK is used worldwide to address today's environmental problems while maintaining cultural traditions. Using indigenous knowledge systems helps us protect natural resources better to reach our worldwide conservation targets. By including TEK in today's conservation plans and resource management systems, Indigenous communities can protect nature while keeping their traditional roles as environmental stewards. The future success of our ecosystems depends on how well we combine traditional wisdom with modern scientific knowledge to solve environmental problems.

Challenges and Modern Threats

Modernization and industrialization, combined with deforestation, have caused major changes to how indigenous communities live across the globe. Modernization brings progress but pushes indigenous communities away from their traditional ways because new economic systems and cultural values do not fit their ancient practices. Industrial operations such as mining and logging, plus large-scale farming, have damaged the original land areas of indigenous people. In Indonesia, industrial growth took away forest land from indigenous communities, which harmed their cultural roots and self-sustaining lifestyle (Colchester, 2006). The Amazon's indigenous tribes face direct threats to their survival because

cattle ranchers and soybean farmers destroy large areas of rainforest (Nepstad *et al.*, 2008). When forests disappear, indigenous people suffer more because they lose their basic survival resources. The loss of natural resources and biodiversity harms both their economic base and their cultural heritage with the land. The Amazon rainforest experienced rapid deforestation growth during recent decades, with illegal logging and land theft targeting indigenous territories, according to Walker *et al.* (2019). The loss of traditional knowledge systems now threatens both local biodiversity and natural ecosystems.

Industrial projects and urbanization, combined with conservation efforts, push indigenous communities from their land, which creates new difficulties for them. When people are forced to leave their ancestral lands, they lose the opportunity to learn and share traditional ecological knowledge with their descendants. Berkes (2018) explains that traditional knowledge works best in the natural environment where indigenous people live. Displaced communities lose their power to maintain and update their knowledge systems, which causes their cultural heritage to fade away. The loss of traditional knowledge systems harms our ability to protect Earth's environment. The loss of traditional resource management systems that work well for nature happens because modern economic and social systems replace them (Pretty *et al.*, 2009). When displaced people move to cities, they become dependent on others and face social rejection. Indigenous people must accept poor-paying jobs or join the informal economy because these options do not match their cultural beliefs and ignore their traditional knowledge (Bebbington *et al.*, 2018).

The social and economic problems of poverty and poor healthcare, plus limited education, push indigenous people away from regular society. When people lose their land and work, they must give up their traditional ways, which makes displacement even harder to recover from. Modern conservation efforts that exclude Indigenous participation do not work because these communities know best how to protect nature. Protected area projects have regularly forced Indigenous groups from their land without giving them new ways to earn money or letting them help make decisions (Agrawal & Redford, 2009). The combination of modern development trends and industrial activities, plus forest loss, pushes indigenous communities toward extinction while endangering their cultural traditions. Our solutions need to welcome traditional knowledge systems into modern conservation methods while respecting their natural worth. Policies need to support indigenous rights by letting them take part in all decisions about land use and resource management. Preserving their cultural and ecological ways helps both Indigenous communities and the world reach sustainability targets.

Lessons for Global Sustainability

Indigenous people have protected their natural surroundings since ancient times, and their methods teach us about better ways to care for our environment today. Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) forms the core of this relationship because it represents the knowledge and practices that generations have developed and passed down through adaptive processes. TEK helps people understand their local environment and teaches them how to use natural resources in ways that protect nature. The Anishinaabe people have maintained traditional ways to harvest resources that help plants and animals naturally recover (National Park Service, n.d.). These practices show us that humans belong in the ecosystem and need to be included in environmental policies that currently ignore this fact. Using traditional ecological knowledge in today's environmental plans helps us better protect nature and make ecosystems stronger. It shows that Indigenous people maintain their lands better than officially protected areas because they achieve higher biodiversity levels (Garnett *et al.*, 2018). Indigenous people who use TEK to manage their lands keep the environment in balance. TEK helps scientists understand how living things connect and how ecosystems work, which is essential for restoring damaged habitats. It shows that combining TEK with habitat restoration practices leads to better project outcomes according to McCarter *et al.* (2014). Recognizing and rejecting TEK practices will help us create better environmental protection methods.

Combining traditional wisdom with modern science helps us create better environmental protection methods. Modern science gives us powerful tools, but TEK brings local knowledge and deep historical understanding from generations of observation. Combining traditional and modern knowledge systems through teamwork helps us solve today's environmental problems better. It shows that when indigenous communities take part in managing fisheries, they achieve better harvest results and stronger community health (Berkes *et al.*, 2000). Combining these knowledge systems faces barriers such as different ways of understanding and unequal power relationships. Indigenous knowledge must become the foundation of decision-making rather than an extra element in partnership arrangements. The system needs new rules that support indigenous rights and give them real opportunities to take part. Capacity-building programs help both indigenous knowledge holders and scientific communities learn from each other while building mutual respect, which makes knowledge integration possible (Hill *et al.*, 2012). Tribal cultures show us that environmental management needs to blend traditional ecological wisdom with scientific methods to work effectively. When knowledge systems that they different work differently together, we can better understand nature and develop more sustainable solutions. We need to make policies that accept and use Indigenous views, while protecting both nature and local customs, to be successful. This approach enables us to create better solutions for today's environmental problems.

CONCLUSION

The indigenous communities have been affected by modern environmental changes, which have led to the disruption of the cultural areas and ecosystems upon which they have themselves depended for survival, thereby eroding the traditional ecological practices and social cohesion. Deeply rooted cultural and ecological practices of tribal communities show the possible way of a sustainable relationship with nature. The review demonstrates that traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) is critically important to biodiversity conservation, sustainable agriculture, and natural resource management.

Modern societies can learn from tribal practices of coexistence in an era when countries have to cope with the effects of climate change and ecological degradation. Not only is it integral to preserve culture, but an act of cultural preservation is equally critical to global sustainability, integrating TEK into modern environmental policy. The value of these systems is recognized to make environmental solutions culturally respectful, inclusive, and effective. Developing both nature and indigenous knowledge-friendly policies should involve collaboration between governments, researchers, and conservationists with tribal communities. Tribal ecological traditions surviving is not just an issue of culture, but an essential component in the global battle to cultivate a more sustainable and equitable future.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The policies must include tribal knowledge systems to improve our defense of natural resources. Governments must create laws that also defend tribal rights and permit the tribes to be involved in environmental decisions. In the Field of Teaching, tribes work on saving their cultural heritage by recording their ecological wisdom and using it to help in educational and conservation efforts. Building the conservation programs for the tribes can be easier so that they are better able to protect their natural heritage. The agents of both systems must learn together to solve the world's environmental problems while remaining compatible with each other, and they need to walk through the gaps to achieve that.

REFERENCES

1. Agrawal, A., & Redford, K. (2009). Conservation and displacement: An overview. *Conservation and Society*, 7(1), 1–10.
2. Bebbington AJ, Humphreys Bebbington D, Sauls LA, Rogan J, Agrawal S, Gamboa C, Imhof A, Johnson K, Rosa H, Royo A, Toumbourou T, Verdum R. Resource extraction and infrastructure threaten forest cover and community rights. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2018 Dec 26;115(52):13164–13173. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1812505115. Epub 2018 Dec 3. PMID: 30509982; PMCID: PMC6310830.
3. Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred Ecology* (4th ed.). Routledge.
4. Berkes, F., Colding, J., & Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptive management. *Ecological Applications*, 10(5), 1251–1262.
5. Bera, S. (2015). Niyamgiri answers. *Down to Earth*.
6. Colchester, M. (2006). Justice in the forest: Rural livelihoods and forest law enforcement. *Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR)*.
7. Garnett, S. T., Burgess, N. D., Fa, J. E., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Molnár, Z., Robinson, C. J., ... & Watson, J. E. M. (2018). A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(7), 369–374.
8. Gadgil, M., Berkes, F., & Folke, C. (1993). Indigenous knowledge for biodiversity conservation. *Ambio*, 22(2/3), 151–156.
9. Hill, R., Cullen-Unsworth, L. C., Talbot, L. D., & McIntyre-Tamwoy, S. (2012). Empowering Indigenous peoples' biocultural diversity through World Heritage cultural landscapes: a case study from the Australian humid tropical forests. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 17(6), 571–591.
10. Long, J. W., et al. (2020). How traditional tribal perspectives influence ecosystem restoration. *Ecopsychology*, 12(2), 71–82.
11. Mandal, K.G. & Mohanty, Rajeeb & Raychaudhuri, Mausumi. (2016). Water Management and Integrated Farming in a Canal Command.
12. McCarter, J., Gavin, M. C., Baereleo, S., & Love, M. (2014). The challenges of maintaining indigenous ecological knowledge. *Ecology and Society*, 19(3), 39.
13. National Park Service. (n.d.). Sustainability: Lessons from the Anishinaabek.
14. Nepstad, D. C., Stickler, C. M., Soares-Filho, B., & Merry, F. (2008). Interactions among Amazon land use, forests, and climate: Prospects for a near-term forest tipping point. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1498), 1737–1746.
15. Ormsby, A. A. (2013). Analysis of local attitudes toward the sacred groves of Meghalaya and Karnataka, India. *Conservation and Society*, 11(2), 187–197.
16. Parrotta, J. A., & Trosper, R. L. (Eds.). (2012). *Traditional Forest-Related Knowledge: Sustaining Communities, Ecosystems and Biocultural Diversity*. Springer.
17. Posey, D. A. (1985). Indigenous management of tropical forest ecosystems: The case of the Kayapó Indians of the Brazilian Amazon. *Agroforestry Systems*, 3(2), 139–158.
18. Pretty, J., Adams, B., Berkes, F., de Athayde, S. F., Dudley, N., Hunn, E., ... & Pilgrim, S. (2009). The intersections of biological diversity and cultural diversity: Towards integration. *Conservation and Society*, 7(2), 100–112.
19. Sahu, R., & Mollick, F. (2019). Conservation, displacement, and tribal society. In F. Mollick & R. Kumar (Eds.), *Development, environment and tribal society* (pp. 80–90). K.K. Publications.
20. Sinha, R. K. (1991). Ecosystem preservation through faith and tradition in India. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 2(1), 21–24.
21. Walker, W. S., Gorelik, S. R., Baccini, A., Aragon-Osejo, J. L., Josse, C., Meyer, C., ... & Schwartzman, S. (2019). The role of forest conversion, degradation, and disturbance in the carbon dynamics of Amazon indigenous territories and protected areas. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(6), 3015–3025.